

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,840	HOWARD, WILLIAM F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shane Bomar	3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Shane Bomar.

(3) ____.

(2) Scott McBride (for William B. Patterson).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2006

Time: 12:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I contacted Attorney Patterson because it has been more than six months since the Final Office Action was mailed. Mr. McBride returned my call and stated that no action will be taken in response to the Final Rejection.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)